

CASE REPORT

PATHOLOGY/BIOLOGY

Jennifer L. Brzezinski,¹ Ph.D. and David L. Craft,¹ Ph.D.

Characterization of Microorganisms Isolated from Counterfeit Toothpaste

ABSTRACT: The appearance of potentially counterfeit “Colgate” toothpaste on the American market prompted a criminal investigation by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), including the collection of *c.* 60,000 tubes of toothpaste from retail outlets and product distributors. Microbiological testing was performed based on the FDA Bacteriological Analytical Manual, which determined the presence and number of bacteria present in the products. Bacteria were isolated from each “Colgate” variety; up to 2×10^6 cfu/g were isolated from some of the product units. Using conventional microscopic and biochemical bacterial identification methods, most of the bacteria isolated from these samples were Gram-negative rods of several genera, including *Pseudomonas*, *Serratia*, and *Klebsiella*. Most of the organisms isolated represent opportunistic pathogens, and therefore, counterfeit “Colgate” toothpaste containing high levels of bacteria pose a human health hazard.

KEYWORDS: forensic science, toothpaste, counterfeit drug, bacteria, Colgate, enterobacteriaceae

In the spring of 2007, United States Immigration Customs Enforcement agents seized over 144,000 tubes of allegedly counterfeit “Colgate” toothpaste in Newark, NJ (1). Subsequently, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Office of Criminal Investigations began an investigation that culminated in the collection of 60,000 additional tubes of counterfeit toothpaste, primarily from distributors and discount retailers on the East coast. All of the counterfeit products bore the “Colgate” name and included several product types, including “Colgate Herbal Gel,” “Colgate Triple Action,” “Colgate Gel,” and “Colgate Maximum Cavity Protection.” Although the product labels claimed that they were manufactured in South Africa, the actual country of origin was China. Eventually, these products were found in multiple U.S. states, as well as world-wide distribution in places as diverse as the Cayman Islands, Nigeria, Spain, and Canada (2–5). Studies from both Europe and Canada demonstrated the presence of high numbers of bacteria in these products (2,5). The following study describes the isolation and characterization of the bacterial species from counterfeit Colgate products collected in the United States.

Materials and Methods

Microbiology

The FDA Bacteriological Analytical Manual (6) method for cosmetics was used to determine the approximate bacterial load of each sample. Briefly, 1 g of each unit was serially diluted in 9 mL of modified Lethen Broth (MLB; General Laboratory Products, Bolingbrook, IL). One hundred microliters of selected dilutions (10^{-1} , 10^{-3} , and 10^{-5}) were plated to duplicate Modified Lethen Agar plates (MLA; Biomerieux, Hazelwood, MO) incubated for 48 h at 30°C and enumerated. Each unique bacterial isolate, based

on colonial morphology, was identified and streaked for isolation onto trypticase soy broth agar containing 5% sheep’s blood (SBA). Each isolate was subsequently Gram-stained and identified using the Vitek 2 Compact identification system (Biomerieux, Hazelwood, MO). The MLB dilutions were enriched for growth for up to 7 days at 30°C after direct plating. If the direct plates were negative for bacterial growth 48 h following initial plating, enrichments were plated onto MLA following 7 days of incubation or when turbidity was detected. If bacteria were isolated from the enrichments plated onto MLA, they were cultured onto SBA, characterized, and identified in the same manner as the isolates from the direct plate method.

Bacterial identification based on 16S rDNA sequencing was performed on isolates by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA.

Results and Discussion

Bacteria were isolated from 38 of the 63 samples analyzed (60%). Bacterial species identified during the analysis were gram-negative rods and gram-positive bacilli; no gram-positive cocci were isolated from any of the products. Table 1 shows the composition of each product unit analyzed, grouped by product type. The FDA guideline for an acceptable amount of bacteria in a cosmetic is 1×10^3 cfu/g (6). Sixteen of the 17 tubes of “Colgate Herbal” analyzed contained significant bacterial contamination, ranging from *c.* 2.5×10^4 to 2.5×10^6 organisms/g. Of the 16 tubes of “Colgate Triple Action” analyzed, 10 were found to be contaminated with bacteria. Thirteen tubes of “Colgate Gel” were tested, and six of them were found to contain bacteria. Of the 17 tubes of “Colgate Maximum Cavity Protection” analyzed, bacteria were isolated from six units.

As shown in Table 1, most of the products examined were harboring only one or two species of bacteria. Members of the genus *Pseudomonas* were isolated from three of the four product types analyzed. Indeed, the predominant contaminants were

¹United States Food and Drug Administration, Forensic Chemistry Center, 6751 Steger Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45237.

Received 17 Mar. 2011; and in revised form 5 July 2011; accepted 19 July 2011.

TABLE 1—Microbiological results by product name.

ID	Product Name	Cfu/g [†]	Organisms Isolated
H1	"Herbal"	6.1 × 10 ⁴	<i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i> ; <i>Acinetobacter haemolyticus</i>
H2	"Herbal"	5.2 × 10 ⁴	<i>P. stutzeri</i> ; <i>A. haemolyticus</i>
H3	"Herbal"	2.2 × 10 ⁵	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>
H4	"Herbal"	Negative	
H5	"Herbal"	1.8 × 10 ⁵	<i>P. putida</i>
H6	"Herbal"	3.3 × 10 ⁵	<i>Pseudomonas sp. (mendocina or putida)</i>
H7	"Herbal"	2.5 × 10 ⁶	<i>Enterobacter gergoviae</i> ; <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
H8	"Herbal"	3.9 × 10 ⁵	<i>E. gergoviae</i>
H9	"Herbal"	5.2 × 10 ⁴	<i>P. putida</i>
H10	"Herbal"	4.8 × 10 ⁴	Unidentified
H11	"Herbal"	5.2 × 10 ⁴	<i>Serratia plymuthica</i> ; Unidentified
H12	"Herbal"	6.2 × 10 ⁵	<i>P. putida</i>
H13	"Herbal"	4.9 × 10 ⁵	<i>P. putida</i>
H14	"Herbal"	2.7 × 10 ⁶	<i>S. plymuthica</i>
H15	"Herbal"	2.7 × 10 ⁴	<i>Brevibacillus choshinensis</i> ; <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> ; two Unidentified
H16	"Herbal"	8 × 10 ⁵	Unidentified
H17	"Herbal"	2.3 × 10 ⁵	Unidentified
T1	"Triple Action"	Negative	
T2	"Triple Action"	Negative	
T3	"Triple Action"	2.6 × 10 ⁵	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> ; <i>P. stutzeri</i>
T4	"Triple Action"	<500	<i>Bacillus megaterium</i> ; <i>S. plymuthica</i>
T5	"Triple Action"	Enrichment*	Unidentified
T6	"Triple Action"	Enrichment*	Unidentified
T7	"Triple Action"	1.4 × 10 ⁵	<i>P. stutzeri</i> ; Unidentified
T8	"Triple Action"	3.4 × 10 ⁵	<i>P. stutzeri</i>
T9	"Triple Action"	Negative	
T10	"Triple Action"	Negative	
T11	"Triple Action"	1.8 × 10 ⁵	<i>K. oxytoca</i> ; <i>P. stutzeri</i>
T12	"Triple Action"	3.9 × 10 ⁵	<i>K. oxytoca</i> ; <i>P. stutzeri</i> ; <i>A. salmonicida</i>
T13	"Triple Action"	Negative	
T14	"Triple Action"	Negative	
T15	"Triple Action"	1.2 × 10 ⁶	<i>P. stutzeri</i> ; Unidentified
T16	"Triple Action"	2.1 × 10 ⁵	<i>P. stutzeri</i> ; Unidentified
G1	"Fluoride Gel"	Enrichment*	<i>B. megaterium</i>
G2	"Fluoride Gel"	Negative	
G3	"Fluoride Gel"	1.9 × 10 ⁶	<i>Halotalea alkalilenta</i>
G4	"Fluoride Gel"	Negative	
G5	"Fluoride Gel"	Negative	
G6	"Fluoride Gel"	Negative	
G7	"Fluoride Gel"	Negative	
G8	"Fluoride Gel"	1.7 × 10 ⁴	<i>H. alkalilenta</i>
G9	"Fluoride Gel"	3.1 × 10 ⁴	<i>A. haemolyticus</i> ; <i>H. alkalilenta</i>
G10	"Fluoride Gel"	Negative	
G11	"Fluoride Gel"	Negative	
G12	"Fluoride Gel"	Enrichment*	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>
G13	"Fluoride Gel"	Enrichment*	<i>S. plymuthica</i>
M1	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M2	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M3	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Enrichment*	<i>Burkholderia cepacia</i> group; Unidentified
M4	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M5	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M6	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	9.2 × 10 ⁵	<i>Citrobacter koseri</i> ; <i>Pantoea sp.</i>
M7	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M8	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Enrichment*	Unidentified
M9	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Enrichment*	<i>Bacillus sp. (thuringensis, mycoides, or cereus)</i>
M10	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Enrichment*	<i>Pseudomonas luteola</i> ; Unidentified
M11	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Enrichment*	<i>P. luteola</i> ; Unidentified
M12	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M13	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M14	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M15	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M16	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	
M17	"Maximum Cavity Protection"	Negative	

*Isolates were derived only from liquid enrichments; direct plating analyses were negative in these cases.

[†]Colony-forming units/g of product.

Pseudomonads, comprised of four species of the genus *Pseudomonas* and the related genus, *Acinetobacter*. These bacteria are widely distributed in nature, especially in soil and water (7). Some members of the genus can be commensal organisms, living in the

human oral cavity, but others, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, are commonly associated with human infection (8).

Another family of bacteria that was identified in the analysis was the *Enterobacteriaceae*. This is a large family of

gram-negative rods, most of which are normally present in the mammalian intestinal tract (9). Members of this bacterial family were isolated from all of the products analyzed, namely members of the genera *Enterobacter*, *Klebsiella*, *Citrobacter*, *Panotaea*, and *Serratia*. *Serratia plymuthica* was isolated from three of the four products tested; this organism can be isolated from soil and water and has been isolated from human sputum (10). Although the bacteria isolated from these products are not considered typical pathogens, they are all capable of causing infection in humans and may therefore pose a threat to individuals with weakened or compromised immune systems. All of the organisms that were not identified using the Vitek 2 were also gram-negative rods.

Approximately half of the tubes of the "Colgate Fluoride Gel" were negative for bacterial contamination. However, in this sample group, a unique organism was found, *Halotalea alkalilenta*, a newly characterized gram-negative rod that was first isolated from the waste of an olive oil mill (11). This bacterium was initially identified as *Burkholderia pseudomallei* using the Vitek 2. The Vitek 2 identifies bacterial species based on a series of biochemical tests, the results of which are compared with an internal database. As *B. pseudomallei* is classified as a Select Agent by the CDC, DNA sequence analysis of the 16 rDNA gene was required for a confirmatory identification on these isolates. On the basis of DNA analysis, the organism submitted to the CDC was a 99.5% match to *H. alkalilenta*. It is unlikely that this recently discovered, rare organism was present in the Vitek 2 database, making an accurate identification impossible using this instrumentation. *H. alkalilenta* was not isolated from any of the other toothpaste matrices and may suggest that the various products were made in multiple locations with varying bacterial flora. The pathogenicity of this species is unknown.

Taken together, the high numbers and types of bacteria isolated from these products indicate poor hygiene at the production location(s). The manufacturing process of these products has not been elucidated; it is currently unknown how or when during production these products became contaminated. Both the boxes and tubes of most of the products analyzed were stamped with "codes." However, because the product is counterfeit, these codes lack meaning. There was no correlation between the codes and the number/type of organisms isolated from the products (data not shown), suggesting that these codes cannot be used for a typical "trace-back" analysis. As the number of manufacturing sites are unknown, it is merely speculative to suggest that all of the units of any given product type were manufactured at a single site, which may confound any effort to correlate the microbiological data with chemical composition or other factors associated with the finished product.

In conclusion, bacterial contamination of counterfeit Colgate toothpaste products was common, with as many as two million bacteria/g being isolated from some of the units. The organisms identified were generally gram-negative rods, bacteria that can live in many types of environments. Most of these organisms are opportunistic pathogens, and they pose a public health threat to individuals with compromised immune systems, including the very young, the elderly, and patients with certain medical conditions.

References

1. <http://www.ice.gov/news/releases/0808/080822washington.htm> (accessed February 7, 2011).
2. http://ec.europa.eu/consumers/dyna/rapex/create_rapex_search.cfm (accessed July 28, 2010).
3. <http://allafrica.com/stories/200709040959.html> (accessed February 17, 2011).
4. http://www.gov.ky/portal/page?_pageid=1142,2123564&_dad=portal&_schema=PORTAL (accessed February 28, 2010).
5. http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/advisories-avis/_2007/2007_79-eng.php (accessed February 28, 2010).
6. US FDA. US FDA bacteriological analytical manual. Microbiological methods for cosmetics, 1998, <http://www.fda.gov/Food/ScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/BacteriologicalAnalyticalManualBAM/default.htm> (accessed August 7, 2007).
7. Jawetz E, Melnick JL, Adelberg EA. Pseudomonads, acinetobacters, & uncommon gram-negative bacteria. In: Jawetz E, editor. Medical microbiology. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1991;224–9.
8. Koneman EW, Allen SD, Janda WM, Schreckenberger PC, Winn WC Jr. The nonfermentative gram-negative bacilli. In: Jirsa AP, editor. Diagnostic microbiology. Philadelphia, PA: J.B. Lippincott, 1992;185–242.
9. Jawetz E, Melnick JL, Adelberg EA. Enteric gram-negative rods (enterobacteriaceae). In: Jawetz E, editor. Medical microbiology. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1991;212–23.
10. Koneman EW, Allen SD, Janda WM, Schreckenberger PC, Winn WC Jr. The enterobacteriaceae. In: Jirsa AP, editor. Diagnostic microbiology. Philadelphia, PA: J.B. Lippincott, 1992;185–242.
11. Ntougias S, Zervakis GI, Fasseas C. *Halotalea alkalilenta* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel osmotolerant and alkali-tolerant bacterium from alkaline olive oil mill wastes, and emended description of the family Halomonadaceae Franzmann et al. 1989, emend. Dobson and Franzmann 1996. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2007;57:1975–83.

Additional information and reprint requests:
Jennifer L. Brzezinski, Ph.D.
United States Food and Drug Administration
Forensic Chemistry Center
6751 Steger Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45237
E-mail: jennifer.brzezinski@fda.hhs.gov